**SDR**

Date: February 13, 2025

**I. PURPOSE.**Department of Defense (DoD) Supply Discrepancy Reporting (SDR) Process Review Committee (PRC) Bi-Monthly Call Series to discuss on going SDR issues within the DoD and other Federal agencies.

* **ADDITIONAL DEDSO SDR GENERAL COMMUNICATION.** Do you have a question, hot topic, or an update related to SDR; we encourage you to send us an email at DEDSO.SDR@dla.mil.

**II. ATTENDEES**.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Component** | **Name** (Primary) | **Name** (Alternate) | **Name** | **Name** |
| **ODASD(LOG)** | [ ] Jeremy Amason  | [x] Patrick O’Connell | [ ]  Joseph Williams | [x]  |
| **DEDSO** | [x] Ben Breen | [ ] Corbin Austin | [x] Mashiya Young | [ ]  |
| **DAAS** | [ ] Pablo Gomez | [ ] Diane Wood | [x] Sean Humenansky | [ ] Marsha Lucas |
| **DLA** **HQ** | [x] Troy Brown | [x] Jose Pereira | [ ] Jen Smith | [x]  Eric Prowell |
| **DLA Disposition Services** | [x] Denisse Cunningham | [ ] Keith Catt | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| **Army** | [ ] Weston Caldwell | [x] Grant Broome | [x]  Yolanda Johnson | [ ]  |
| **USASAC FMS** | [ ] Erik Morales | [ ] Jeannette Guy | [ ] Alena Zayats | [ ]  |
| **Marine Corps** | [ ] Kevin Austin | [ ] Major Arielle Irsik | [x] Ted Wiechman | [ ]  CW Pierce |
| **Navy/PDREP** | [ ] Jared Trinkaus | [x] Krystal Abreu | [x] Alex Sparks | [ ]  |
| **Navy FMS** | [x] Jeri Davis | [ ] Barry Magagna III | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| **Air Force** | [ ] William Wenzel | [x] Danielle Woods-Lewis | [x] Tamara Barnett | [x]  Darvin Moore |
| **AFSAC FMS** | [ ] Timothy Hecht | [x] Mark Passage | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| **US Coast Guard** | [ ] Fred Haub | [ ]  | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| **DCMA** | [x] Laurie Cooper | [x] Richard Mast | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| **DSCA** | [ ] Derrick Lee | [x] Michelle Davis | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| **GSA** | [x] Carey DeForest | [ ] Ben Hyatt | [ ] Nancy Bamford | [ ]  |
| **USTRANSCOM** | [ ] Dan Eisenberg | [ ] James DeCarli | [ ]  | [ ]  |
| **SPRS** | [ ] Belinda Labourdette | [x]  Danielle Coombs  | [ ]  | [ ]  |

**ALL CALL PARTICIPANTS:** Please put your phone on “MUTE” during the call until you need to respond or ask a question. Do not place the call on HOLD.

**REMINDERS:** SDR Record details contain Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI), please remember to mark CUI and encrypt when attaching or sending SDR details by email.

Updated SDR Appointment Letters for 2025 are due February 15th.

**Announcement: 2025** DLMS Summit in Tysons VA, April 29th -May 2nd. Please let us know of any topics you would like DEDSO to brief on, as well as any topics your component would like to discuss.

* Waiting to hear back on whether desks/tables will be available in the large group sessions.

**III. Action Items**.

| **Date Opened** | **Action** | **Assigned To** | **Date Due** | **Date Closed** | **Status** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***11/07/2024*** | ***Appointment Letters*** | [x] DLA [x]  Air Force[x]  Army[x]  Marine Corp[ ]  Navy[ ]  DAAS[x]  DLA Dispo. Services[x]  DSCA[ ]  USCG[x]  GSA | *2/15/2025* |  | ONGOING |
| ***11/7/2024*** | ***Remove All 2 digit “P” Disc. Codes per ADC 1059*** | [x] DLA is good except for Type R.[ ]  Air Force[x]  AFSAC[ ]  Army[ ]  Marine Corp[ ]  Navy[ ]  DAAS | *4/1/2025* |  | ONGOING |
| ***11/7/2024*** | ***Ensure wrong item material identifiers are included for wrong item discrepancies*** | [ ] DLA[ ]  Air Force[x]  Army[ ]  Marine Corp[ ]  Navy[ ]  DAAS | *4/1/2025* |  | ONGOING |
| ***11/7/2024*** | ***ADC Withdraws*** *- DLA HQ plans to formally withdraw ADCs 1045, 1084, 1126 and 1273.*  | [ ] DLA HQ | 5/1/2025(If this due date does not work please let DEDSO know so we can adjust date.) |  | ONGOING |
| ***11/20/2024*** | ***Draft PDC 1475:*** *DEDSO follow on meeting to discuss 14 day timeframe.*  | [ ]  AFSAC/DEDSO |  |  | OPEN |
| ***12/18/24*** | ***Add new Reply Codes 209/210 per ADC 1474*** | [ ] DLA[ ]  Air Force[ ]  Army[ ]  Marine Corp[ ]  Navy[ ]  DAAS | *4/1/2025* |  | OPEN |
| ***1/30/2025*** | ***UPDATE AFSAC DoDAAC******FG2303*** | [ ] DLA[ ]  Air Force[ ]  Army[ ]  Marine Corp[ ]  Navy[ ]  DAAS | 6/1/2025 |  | OPEN |
| ***1/30/2025*** | ***Scrub DEDSO SDR Distribution list for Component POCs*** | [ ] DLA [x]  ODASD[ ]  Air Force[ ]  Army[ ]  Marine Corp[x]  Navy [x]  Navy FMS[x]  USCG[ ]  DAAS[x]  GSA | 3/12/2025 |  | OPEN |
| ***2/13/2025*** | ***DEDSO to request impact statement from DLA Distribution on PDC 1492.*** | [x] DEDSO SDR |  |  | OPEN |
|  |  | [ ] DLA [ ]  Air Force[ ]  Army[ ]  Marine Corp[ ]  Navy [ ]  Navy FMS[ ]  USCG[ ]  DAAS |  |  | OPEN |

**IV. AGENDA**.

1. **Customer Type 6 and 7 SDRs reporting overages/shortages with action code 1H/3B:** DEDSO will be drafting a PDC which will implement a new rule to prevent customers from submitting SDRs reporting overages and shortages with an action code of 1H or 3B.  Customers will be required to submit overage SDRs with an action code of 1A or 2B.  Customers will report shortage SDRs with an action code of 2A.  Over and short shipments have a financial impact, therefore the associated SDRs should not be submitted as information only and automatically set to a closed status.  This change will not apply to Security Assistance (SA) customers identified by a Military Assistance Program Address Code (MAPAC) and document numbers starting with B, D, K, P or T.
	* DEDSO SDR: We have been seeing Type 7’s that are being reported as information only SDRs but in the actual SDRs it details that they’re either a shortage or an overage of material. If it’s short, why are you submitting an SDR for information and not requesting credit and if you received an overage why isn’t it being submitted as an overage so you can retain the material? This is an effort to ensure data integrity as we prepare to enter this data into Advana.
	* US Army: Is there an update on the ones for Suspended Stock?
	* US Navy: Are there some exceptions? Since there are reporting timeframes meaning you’re supposed to submit your SDR within 60 days of shipment. Is that an exception?
	* DEDSO SDR response:No, if you’re submitting a shortage why would you accept the loss? Why would you pay for something that was never received. We’re seeing these being submitted as information only – which doesn’t make any sense. If a shipment was short you need to either receive a credit or have the shortage material shipped to you. We’re not referring to the late or outside of the timeframe submissions. We’re not talking about security assistance – this has nothing to do with FMS. FMS is excluded because FMS has different rules regarding dollar values. No exceptions at this point. The intent of this PDC is to provide guidance for when this scenario happens so less of this happens.
	* GSA: We are seeing this as well – where the customer is reporting short, however, its actually a non-receipt.
	* DCMA: The only exception I see is when DCMA pulls the 1Hs to retrain and address with the vendor – if the vendor miscounted and sent a shortage or overage of material. This is the only exception where we see using that code makes sense. Other than that, we agree.
	* DEDSO SDR response: Understood. We can convey the same information on whether it’s actionable or information only. The Inventory Control Point (ICP) is responsible.
	* DLA HQ: Just want to clarify the timelines.
	* DEDSO SDR response: This has nothing to do with timeline submission. Security Assistance is specifically excluded.
2. **Draft PDC 1460A Pre-Positioned Release Orders Process with Supporting Document Number:** The purpose of this addendum is to extend the supporting document number qualifier ‘43’ to the additional Defense Logistics Management Standards (DLMS) Implementation Conventions (ICs). Approved DLMS Change (ADC) 1460 established the Pre-Positioned Release Order Process and added the supporting document number to the DLMS 940R Materiel Release (4030) at 2/N9/0400. At the three Air Logistics Complexes (ALCs), the United States Air Force (USAF) will map their internal maintenance document numbers on the DLMS 940R to the Defense Logistics Agency’s (DLA) Warehouse Management System (WMS). The USAF Stock Control System (SCS)/D035K is designed to receive the supporting document number mapped to subsequent transactions from WMS using the DLMS 842A/W, DLMS 867I, DLMS 945A, DLMS 856S, and DLMS 870S. This change impacts DLA WMS and Air Force SCS/D035K systems only.
* DEDSO SDR response: We are adding a new qualifier to allow the transaction to carry the maintenance document as a secondary reference number.
* DLA HQ: What’s the implementation timeline on this? Asking because with no timeline or critical pathway – it would have to be a phased approach.
* DEDSO SDR response: DLA WMS is scheduled to roll out to the first of three ALCs in March 2025. Testing between the USAF and DLA is currently in process and the addendum is necessary to successfully complete testing. This change must be approved with ample time to design, build, and test all changes prior to the 2025 rollout. PDC 1460A is a Joint Supply/SDR DLMS Change and was submitted to DASD on 2/3/2025.
1. **PDC 1492, Mandatory Use of Shipment Notification (Supply):** This change authorizes storage activities to suspend materiel delivered without a matching advance shipment notification (e.g., advance shipment notice (ASN), shipment status, or returns). Current policy requires shipping activities to transmit advance notification to the inventory control point (ICP) upon shipment. However, the notification is not always transmitted to the receiving activity resulting in the arrival of unexpected deliveries. Upon implementation of this change, the lack of advance shipment notification will result in suspended stock. To reduce implementation efforts, DAAS will transmit a copy of the shipment notification to the Ship-To address based on the notification sent to the ICP. In addition, this change incorporates a new qualifier to reference the original supply discrepancy code (SDR) number in designated Defense Logistics Management Standards (DLMS) transactions.
	* DEDSO SDR: We will speak with DLA Distribution regarding volume – If you can send me email on your concerns so we can relay it to DLA Distribution – please indicate specific timeframes in the email.
	* Navy: We will send the email because as of right now we will need to non-concur.
	* DEDSO SDR response: We are aware of some concerns in the increase in suspension and we will continue to discuss and adjudicate comments and if necessary, move them forward to DASD to assist in a resolution.
	* DCMA: How is the Advance Shipment Notice (ASN) different than the Prepositioned Materiel Receipt (PMR)?
	* DEDSO SDR response: The ASN details what is being shipped and is required otherwise if we don’t have the ASN it will be suspended. The PMR is used to document the materiel at time of receipt and receives it to the owner – this eliminates the process of having to manually find the correct owner of the materiel.
	* Air Force: Did DLA submit this PDC? As of right now we would have to non-concur with this PDC as well.
	* TACOM: As of right now we non-concur.
	* AMCOM: Now that we clearly understand the difference between an ASN vs PMR, can you provide any insight on how we can gauge that impact? For example, if we knew the number of ASNs that would have been suspended had this been in effect over a certain timeframe.
	* DEDSO SDR response:
		+ Hearing some of your concerns we will go back to DLA Distribution and ask them to provide an impact statement by Service over the past year if not the past year, then the past quarter.
		+ We fully understand that there will be a lot of discussion on this PDC. Please note that PDC 1492 is a higher priority and responses are due on 3/13/25 – Please target the 30-day response date to staff and get your comments back to DEDSO SDR by 3/13.
	* DLA HQ: When the Small Arms PDC came out and didn’t have the qualifier it was going to suspend everything. DLA non-concurred based on it increasing the number of suspensions. It was regarded by DEDSO and ODASD (Log) as an invalid concern and it went to the SES level. Was this the ASN as well? Am I recalling this correctly?
	* DEDSO SDR response: We will have to ask DLA Distribution if this was the same as the Small Arms PDC. This will not be decided by DEDSO. DASD Logistics will have to make the decision.
2. **Discussion on ADC 1474 – 209/210 Reply Codes:**
	* DLA HQ: We have discussed but are not sure if a ticket has been submitted yet. The concern we have is if the ADC states we have 90 days to implement and we miss the 90-day deadline then what?
	* DEDSO SDR response: 90 days is a target date – If we need to adjust the implementation timeframe by a few days we can do that, what we can’t do is let it go for another 9 to 10 months.
	* DLA HQ: My concern is that some systems changes will take longer.
	* DEDSO SDR response: This is a ticket and not a CR that may take longer that’s why we’re targeting a shorter timeframe
3. **Draft PDC 1475 Security Assistance Customer Supply Discrepancy Reporting for Misdirected Shipments:** This proposed change updates the action activities response time for Security Assistance (SA) Supply Discrepancy Report (SDR) Document Type Code 6/7 for misdirected shipments to be 14 days.
	* DEDSO will schedule follow-up meeting on draft PDC 1475

**V.** **RECENT TOPICS AND NOTES FROM PREVIOUS CALLS:**

1. **DoDAAC FA2075 purge from DoDAAD - impact to AFSAC ILCO Online system:**

Update: 49 total transactions recovered and reprocessed successfully.

Discussion with AFSAC indicated they knew that FA2075 was deleted but did not attempt to migrate to their “new” DoDAAC FG2303 yet.  In coordination with DEDSO and AFSAC (and surely some GEX/translation layers), I’ll prepare to make that transition.

* DEDSO SDR: We don’t have visibility into every Components system to know if you need to make this update, that’s why we’re asking for you to please prepare if necessary to make this transition to the new DoDAAC by 6/1/2025.
* DLA HQ: Is there an expectation prior to 6/21 if submitted under the old DoDAAC that our system will have to flip it to the new DoDAAC, or will it be accepted?
* DEDSO SDR response: It will be accepted – they will not be rejected. Right now, we just want to make sure you have visibility that on 6/1/25 AFSAC will have a new DoDAAC. We don’t believe there will be any system impacts.
* AFSAC: The plan is to flip them over to FA2303 and hopefully they will eventually be cleared out within a year.
1. **Request PRC Reps to scrub DEDSO SDR Distribution list for their Component POCs:** Currently our invite list and distribution list needs to be updated and validated. Moving forward, only those on this distribution list would be included on this call invite list. DEDSO will provide each service/component PRC reps with the list to review and update as they see fit.
* DLA HQ: We are working this issue – just waiting on some responses before we give you, our answer.
1. **WebSDR – 2 Digit P Series Discrepancy Codes:** WMS (along with other systems) are still sending 2 digit “P” codes, which were eliminated per ADC 1059 (March 2013). We were notified by Army/DLA, and in EBS (WebSDR # 20243531848) that these are causing rejections at the receiving SDR systems. Because this is causing downstream failures/rejections WebSDR will start to reject these 2 digit “P” codes (i.e. P2).

**Effective April 1, 2025, WebSDR will reject any new 00 SDRs with Reply Code 915 - Transaction rejected. Missing or invalid type of discrepancy code.**

* DLA HQ: J6 believes the issue is solved, however we would like to request a report on any DLA generated “P” discrepancies from the start of the year until now so J6 can double-check their findings.
* DEDSO SDR response: We will run a full report and go from there.
* AFSAC: Compliant
1. **Wrong Item Discrepancies:** For wrong item discrepancies materiel identification of the wrong item must be included per DLMS Vol 2 Ch.17 it states:

C17.3.18.2.10.2. SDR must include materiel identification. Materiel identification may consist of the NSN, manufacturer’s part number and manufacturer’s CAGE, or as authorized under DLMS for unique business processes (e.g., “mixed” or “unknown” for transshipper SDRs and local catalog identification number). Where wrong item discrepancies are reported, materiel identification of the wrong item must be included. Wrong item received may be identified as previously indicated or by description alone (citing “UNKNOWN” as the wrong item part number). Reject with Disposition/Status (Reply) Code 931.

WMS is sending wrong item Type 5/8s SDRs but not including wrong item information.

**Effective April 1, 2025, WebSDR will reject any new 00 SDRs with Reply Code 931- Transaction rejected. Missing or invalid materiel identification.**

* DEDSO SDR: Asked if there’s any updates on Wrong item discrepancies. Reiterated that these have always been required and mandatory that the due date is 4/1/25 after that they will be rejected. Has there been anyone whose been able to validate their status on this? Please reach out to your system people and ensure that its fixed to prevent downstream rejections because effective 4/1/25 they will be rejected.
* DLA HQ: J6 people have this as an action but as of today we cannot give an update on this. Please note that DLA HQ still has some questions about this. The report that was given to us has wrong item for CIIC which is not a discrepancy code, but it’s listed as a Demilitarization (DEMIL) Code. What discrepancy code would have me change the CIIC or DEMIL code to be able to transmit that? In addition, what would we do when we have mixed stock or multiples? There are some rules that are going to cause some concern. DLA HQ will send DEDSO SDR the report and is still working this issue.
* US Army: Would like to clarify. Is this for when SDRs are submitted correct? Army doesn’t submit through LMP. US Army submits through WebSDR – so we should be good for this action, correct?
* DEDSO SDR response: It’s the submitting system that needs to ensure that that’s included.
* USN NAVSUP: Is there an ADC on the wrong item?
1. **DEDSO SDR PDC/ADC Process:** During the DLMS summit in May, there were discussions about a tactical pause on new PDC/ADCs to allow time for the ADC rationalization and other strategic initiatives our office is working on. The SDR team is wrapping up several PDC/ADCs and will be pivoting towards this direction in the fall. However, this does NOT mean we will not be doing PDC/ADCs. Instead, we will look to only do those which have an urgency or break/fix solution.
* ADC Withdraws - DLA HQ is working on formally withdrawing ADCs 1045, 1084, 1126 and 1273.
1. **DEDSO SDR Data to ADVANA:** DEDSO is working with DAAS/ODASD LOG to have SDR data be sent to ADVANA.
2. **X12 LIN segment:** **On hold:** It has been brought to our attention that WebSDR translator is populating information on outgoing SDR transactions through the LIN30/31 Qualifier/Data Pair (which is the way standard X12 should work).  However, the IC only specifies data elements through LIN09, and the DLMS IC makes it look like very specific Qualifier/Data Pairs can only be placed in specific LIN positions 01-09.
* DEDSO Requested information on how each SDR system was programmed.

**VI. FINAL WRAP-UP:** To view relevant DLMS Changes please go to: [**Approved DLMS Changes (dla.mil)**](https://www.dla.mil/Defense-Data-Standards/Resources/ADC/)

**VII. SDR Working Group Meeting notes:** To view Bi-Monthly SDR Implementation Meeting Notes please go to SDR Committee page here: <https://www.dla.mil/Defense-Data-Standards/Committees/SDR/> and scroll down to SDR Working Group Notes for list of most recent notes.

**VIII. ACTIVITIES AND NEWLETTER**. DEDSO monthly updates and activities for the DLMS Community can be found via the following link: [**https://www.dla.mil/Defense-Data-Standards/About-Us/**](https://www.dla.mil/Defense-Data-Standards/About-Us/)